

## Comparison between continuous incremental ramp test and discontinuous square-wave test for $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ assessment in long distance runners and soccer players

A. Riboli<sup>1</sup>, E. Limonta<sup>1</sup>, E. Cè<sup>1</sup>, M. Venturelli<sup>1</sup>, G. Alberti<sup>1</sup>, A. Veicsteinas<sup>1</sup>, F. Esposito<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dept. of Biomedical Sciences for Health (SCIBIS), Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy*

**Aim:** In treadmill testing, the running velocity associated with maximum oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ ) is largely utilized for both laboratory testing and training on the field. Differences between a continuous incremental ramp test (R1) and a discontinuous square wave tests (SW) in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  assessment have been already described. Long distance runners and soccer players are both athletes involved with running. However, the physiological demands are different: in runners are continuous while in soccer players are discontinuous, with an alternation of aerobic and anaerobic tasks. Therefore, the aim of the study was to compare the  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  difference between R1 and SW in both these athletes. Hypothesis is that, this difference should be higher in soccer players than in runners, due to a different capacity to adjust the oxygen transport system at each workload.

**Method:** Eight runners (RUN) and nine soccer players (SOC) reported to the laboratory twice to perform two maximum incremental tests: R1 (1 km/h per min) and SW (workloads of 4 min each, with 5 min of rest in between), in random order, on a motorised treadmill for  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  and  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  assessment. At rest and during exercise, cardiorespiratory and metabolic parameters were collected breath-by-breath. Blood lactate concentration  $[La^-]$  was measured at rest and at maximum exercise.

**Results:** No significant differences between groups and protocols were found in  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  (SOC:  $3892 \pm 104$  vs  $3922 \pm 423$  ml/min; RUN:  $4159 \pm 115$  vs  $4170 \pm 116$ , for SW and R1, respectively), as well as in VE,  $\dot{V}CO_2$ ,  $[La^-]_{\text{peak}}$  and HR at maximum exercise. However,  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  was significantly higher in R1 compared to SW in both groups (SOC:  $16.1 \pm 0.3$  vs  $19.4 \pm 0.4$  km/h, RUN:  $19.5 \pm 0.3$  vs  $22.1 \pm 0.3$  km/h, for SW and R1, respectively;  $P < 0.05$ ), with a higher difference between R1 vs SW in SOC than RUN (+21% vs +13%, respectively;  $P < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** Despite similar  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  values,  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  was higher in R1 than in SW in both groups. However, the difference was significantly higher in SOC than RUN, possibly due to a slower capacity to adjust the oxygen transport system to a given workload in SOC. Even though the two protocols can be used to assess  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ , the  $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$  differences between protocols must be acknowledged to prescribe correctly high intensity training, especially for soccer players.

**Reference:** Buchheit M, Laursen PB (2013) High-intensity interval training, solutions to the programming puzzle: Part I: cardiopulmonary emphasis. Sports medicine 43 (5):313-338.